

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,622	09/29/2000	Arvind Kumar	42390P9709	9572	
7590 12/22/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph A Twarowski			PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
Blakely Sokolot	ff Taylor & Zafman LLP				
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2142		
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 12/22/2009	DATE MAIL ED: 12/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/675,622	KUMAR, ARVIND
Examiner	Art Unit
Prieto B.	2142

	Prieto B.	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of many re	jootoa olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9,15-17,22 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu arguments are not persuasive. 	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		Sell DE ATOM	te Inik

PRIMARY EXAMINER